App. F. IX-4

192

File No. 6-27

Previous References (Pris)

Serial Nos. 46 47

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for January 1928

SUBJECT

Re the sentence and granting remission to Mr. S.A. Raghavendra of the Jail.

Sl no 47

The D. G of Prisons
Bangalore

No 9/6658/7 1.28

Directed that Mr. S.A Raghavendra may be given the benefit of clemency extended to Prisoners.

11/2/28

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mysore File No. 6 of 1927

Serial No. 46

From the Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore Bangalore

No C 393-2 d 4.10.27

Requests for reasons for sanction for carrying out in the Mysore Jail the sentence passed upon the prisoner no 4351 S.A. Raghavendra of the Mysore Jail by a British Magistrate and to allow the prisoner the benefit of G.O. no 888-9 Pris. dt 6-27-22nd July 27.

1) Subd. The two points raised in this case are :-

(1) whether a sentence passed by a British Magistrate in default of furnishing securities can be executed concurrently with that passed by a magistrate of the State for other offences under I.P.C

(2) whether the prisoner can claim a months remission under para 7 b (2) of G.O. no G. 888-97 Pris no 6-27-1 d 22.7.27 for the sentence of 7 months imposed by him by the 1st Class Magistrate Mysore

2) As regards the 1st point it is doubtful whether the Mysore Jails can act in reference to similar cases for the scope of Rule 213(2) of the Mysore Jail manual cannot be considered as extending beyond the State. The Legal Remembrancer and the Law Secretary may be consulted

3. As regards point (2) although the prisoner was sch

NOTE—Contn. sheet

47 W C 182 of 27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192 .

Serial No.

in the Jail on 8.8.27 (the date of sentence) and 20.8.27 (the date of arrival at the Mysore Jail) has to be deemed as spent with Subsidiary Jail as having undergone the sentence imposed in that Jail. In this event the prisoner is entitled to one months remission under Para 7, clause 6(2) of the G.O. referred to.

For orders

T.P. 8/10

4 For favour of remarks

Asst. Secy. Protection for civil Courts

T.P. 10/10

8 4/10

S.(W.) 31.8

5

The points for consideration will be whether the ~~prisoner~~ sentence of one years imprisonment awarded by a Portion magistrate can be executed in Mysore along concurrently with the sentence awarded by the Mysore magistrate for offences under the Penal code and

(2) whether the period of ~~his~~ journey of the prisoner from the Mysore jail to the Belgaum jail and back can be deemed to the sentence undergone by the prisoner

As regards (1) the prisoner was convicted

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

under section 109 Cr. P. Code; the object being that the prisoner may be prevented from committing any offence. Under Rule section 213(2) mysore jail manual a sentence passed in default of furnishing security should run concurrently with that passed for other offences under I.P.C. But this prisoner was sentenced under section 109 CRPC by a District magistrate. It is doubtful whether this sentence can run concurrently with the sentence awarded by a magistrate.

7 The Inspector general of Prisons, Bombay Presidency opines that if the period of detention in mysore jail expires before the sentence passed on him by the mysore magistrate expires, he need not be returned to Bombay India for undergoing the sentence. Since the British Indian officers agree that the sentence awarded under section 109 CRPC may run concurrently, the prisoner will have to be returned only if the prisoner has got some more days to suffer imprisonment beyond the sentence awarded by the mysore magistrate as regards (2). If the view expressed above is accepted, the period of his journey to Belgaum and back will have to be treated as period of imprisonment undergone since the Extradition Act is not applied according to which the sentence is suspended

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

Please put up the connected file memo Mr. D'Mello No 5/1457/26 dt 10/9/27 29/9

B/ 21/10 Submitted 21/10

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

19 4.-1827

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192

Serial No.

11 The facts of the case are fully dealt with in the letter of the Supdt, Mysore Jail.

12 It seems that para 2 referred to above cannot depend on para 1, and that it has to be decided independently of the latter. When the persons was surrendered to Belgaum Jail on 5/8/27, his sentence in Mysore was suspended & it cannot therefore be said that the Extradition Act does not apply to the case.

Submitted for orders

Apl 31/10/27

13 The facts of the case are fully set out in the letter of the Superintendent of the ~~Central~~ Mysore jail (Pl: 2). The points for consideration are:

(1) Whether the intervening period between the 6-8-1927 and 20-8-1927 the dates of surrender and re-surrender from and to the Central jail Bangalore Mysore is to count towards the calculation of the Mysore sentence,

(2) Whether the prisoner is entitled to the benefit of remission granted on the Silver Jubilee day, viz 8th of August (1927).

~~With regard to the 1st point Good attention is invited to Section 11 of the Extradition Act. Under that section~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192

Serial No.

(3) Whether there is reciprocity in similar cases between British India and Mysore.

14 With regard 6 (1) reference is invited to Section 11 of the Extradition Act. Under that section a person accused of an offence committed in Br India or undergoing any sentence under any conviction in Br India should be surrendered to Br India, after trial in the foreign territory.

15 When proceedings are taken against an accused person under Section 123 Cr. Pro. code, he is not accused of any offence. 23 Calcutta 493 at page 494. When an order is made under section 123 Cr. Pro. code it cannot be said that he is convicted of any offence or while in imprisonment that he is undergoing sentence under any conviction. For the imprisonment is merely a preventive sentence and for this reason under Section 397 Cr. Pro. Code proviso (2), if a person who is imprisoned under section 123 is again convicted of an offence committed prior to the passing of the order under section 123, the latter imprisonment begins immediately on the former concurrently 34 Bombay 326.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 6824—GPR—60,000—24-5-27

7A-6

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192

Serial No.

If an order under section 123 Cr. P. C does not fall under section 11 of the Extradition Act there is no question of surrender and the resurrender made would not suspend the term of imprisonment. In this view the prisoner must be deemed to be undergoing imprisonment from the date of conviction continuously without any break.

2) It is no doubt true that the Resident's letter intimating of the surrender marked a resurrender. But this view has been subsequently changed when it is said that there need be no resurrender unless there is some more period of security sentence left over.

3) It may be asked whether a prisoner can be resurrendered in such a case. Though the case may not fall under section 11 of the Extradition Act, yet the order of the Br Indian Court may be still in force and we have to execute such order by sending back the prisoner.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 192

Serial No.

19 The answers to (1) & (2) are therefore in the affirmative.

20 With regard to (3), this is a matter which may be cleared by a reference to the Residency.

(Sgd) D

12/12

21 As regards points nos 1 & 2, the City Magistrate ought not to have recommended the accused for serving the remainder of the term of imprisonment awarded by an order of a Magistrate under Sec 123 Cr.P.C

See 11 of the Extradition Act has no application to such cases, for the accused who is sentenced to imprisonment on his failure to furnish security is neither accused of an offence nor is he convicted for an offence both in such cases.

22 Hence the consequence of surrendering the suspension of the sentence under Sec 123 Cr.P.C does not follow in this case of the prisoner must be taken to be serving his sentence passed by the City Magistrate

23 In this view he is entitled to the benefit of the orders shown by H. H. on the occasion of Silver Jubilee

24 As regards the 3rd point ~~Revision~~ ~~may be entertained~~ it may be desirable to raise the question when it actually arises

46870
14/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet ~~of the Book may be attached~~

WD 0321—GBB—60,000—24-5-27

vide I agree.

DE 15/12

1st member

Sd 15/12 GS 15/12

13/12

B 14/12

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 6 of 192-.

Serial No.

(G.M. Bn)

draft please

draft finalised

please forward typed draft as revised.

Typed draft finalised

For perusal before issue

Law Secy with compts.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0321—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

C 182/27

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No. 47

To D.G. Prisons in Mysore Bangalore

G. 766 S. dt 7.1.28

State trial prisoner No 4357 S.A. Raghavendra by the Sentence awarded by the Bombay Indian Magistrate on prisoner No 4357 S.A. Raghavendra of the Mysore Jail may be carried out at the Mysore Jail and that he may be given the benefit of the Clemency extended to prisoners on the occasion of the recent Silver Jubilee.

To the Budget Branch for taking back the linked file of other Section and returning papers

Recd 18/1

A copy of the letter to the D.G. Prisons will be taken for the linked file wherein we have requested the Mysore to send back the prisoner if necessary and the file returned

17.1.28

Copy taken and the linked file. The file is returned

21.1.28 The hdqrs. file from Budget Branch is linked with the papers

23/1/28

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Fin 7786 10

No. Office of the Inspector-General of Prisons
C-393-Exec-Bour in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 1/4th ~~September~~ October 1927.
Pers. 6-24-46

From.

The Inspector-General of Prisons
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith, for favour of perusal copy of letter No. 859 dated 22nd September 1927, received from the Superintendent, Mysore Jail in connection with the carrying out of the sentence and granting remission as per G.O. No. 888-97/Pris 6-27-1 dated 21st July 1927 to prisoner No. 4551 S.A. Raghavendra of that Jail who was extradited from Belgaum Jail by the City Magistrate of Mysore.

The prisoner in question was undergoing a sentence of one year's rigorous imprisonment in the Belgaum Jail passed upon him by the Sub Divisional Magistrate Belgaum City under Section 109 C.P.C. After his extradition to the Mysore State he was sentenced to undergo 9 months rigorous imprisonment under Secti 409 I.P.C. by the City Magistrate, Mysore on 5-8-27. The priso was then handed over to the Police on 5-8-27 for being re-surre -ed to the Superintendent of the Belgaum Jail, but he was sent to the Mysore Jail by the British Authorities on 20-8-1927 to undergo in the Mysore Jail, the sentence passed on him by the British Magistracy concurrently with the sentence passed by th City Magistrate of Mysore.

As per Rule 213 (2) of the Mysore Jail Manual, a sente passed in default of furnishing security should run concurrent with that passed for other offences under I.P.C.

It is requested under the circumstances that you will so good as to obtain sanction of Government for carrying out i Mysore

Mysore jail, the sentence passed upon the prisoner by a British Magistrate and also to allow the prisoner to have the benefit of G.O. No. 888-97-Pris. 6-7-1 dated 21st July 1927, as he would have been granted a remission of Month's sentence if he had remained in the Jail on 8-8-1927 the period during which the prisoner was out of the Jail viz., from 5-8-27 to 20-8-1927 being taken as sentence undergone.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector-General of Prisons.

avl. /

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

---oOo---

ORDER No: G.888-97/Pris.6-27-1.(Encl.1)

BANGALORE, DATED THE 22ND JULY 1927.

As an act of clemency and grace and as one of the measures for celebrating the Silver Jubilee of His Highness' - - Reign on the 8th August 1927, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore have resolved to release on this auspicious occasion a certain number of prisoners and to remit a portion of the sentence of other prisoners confined in all the Jails and Lock-ups in the State.

2. As regards the prisoners to be released on the -- 8th August 1927, Government have decided as follows:-

i. All prisoners, male and female, under 21 years of age, irrespective of their sentence will be released.

ii. All prisoners, male and female, under sentence of one month or less who have worked out one-third of their sentence on or before the 8th August - 1927, will be released.

iii. All other female prisoners whose release will not be dangerous to society will be released.

iv. In addition to the above, male prisoners up to a number not exceeding 15 per cent of the total number of adult male prisoners in the Jails will be released, the selection being made cording to the directions contained in the ceeding paragraphs.

3. In selecting the prisoners to be released unde Para 2 iv above, the following classes of prisoners are

be excluded from consideration, viz:-

(i) Those whose conduct during imprisonment in the Jail has been bad or turbulent.

(ii) Those, under life sentences, whose original offences were of such a kind to make the convicts permanently dangerous to society or public order, if restored to liberty; or whose crimes were committed in such circumstances that their release is likely to give rise to a renewal of blood-feuds or other disturbances of the kind.

(iii) Professional or habitual criminals and prisoners convicted more than twice.

4. In the selection of prisoners who are to be given the benefit of this order, the following classes of prisoners should receive special consideration:-

(a) Those who have generally borne a good character, and have been led by sudden impulse to commit crimes such as riot, affray, assault and culpable homicide in a moment of anger, and those offenders whose crimes were committed in circumstances which would greatly extenuate their guilt.

(b) Those who, though the crimes committed by them were of a grave character, have expiated them to some extent by long imprisonment with good behaviour. In this category are to be included prisoners who on the ground of advanced age or bodily infirmity are fit subjects for clemency.

5. Prisoners who become eligible for release in the ordinary course by the termination of their sentence on 8th August 1927 should not be treated as among the number, specially released on that date.

15

8. In respect of prisoners under 21 years released under Para 2 i and in respect of short term prisoners released under Para 2 ii as well as, in respect of remissions granted under -- Para 7, the Inspector-General of Prisons is requested to submit for the information of Government lists of released and remissions as early as practicable after the 8th August 1927.

9. In the case of other prisoners, male and female, to - be released under para 2 iv and 2 iii above, the Inspector-General of Prisons is requested to prepare two lists in the accompanying form, one for male and another for female prisoners, and submit the same confidentially for the orders of Government on or before the 27th July 1927. He will further furnish at the same time to every District Magistrate extracts from the said - lists containing particulars of the prisoners of his district - proposed to be released. The District Magistrate will after - careful scrutiny, submit to Government by the 31st Jyl 1927 any suggestions he may wish to offer on the Inspector-General's - - recommendations. In making the selection, the Inspector-General of Prisons will be guided by the instructions given above - and in doubtful cases he will seek the advice and suggestions - of the Magistrate of the District or of the Courts of the Magistrates by whom the prisoners concerned were convicted. To save time the Superintendents of the Central Jail, Bangalore, and the Mysore District Jail, may also do the same if necessary. Simultaneously with the submission of these lists to Government, the Inspector-General of Prisons will forward copies of the -- lists to the Registrar of the Chief Court, who will place them before the Chief Judge with a view to the Government being favoured on or before the 3rd August 1927 with the opinion of -- the Chief Court as to the desirability or otherwise of the release recommended either generally or in individual cases.

10. Government also direct that every civil prisoner - - under confinement on 8th August 1927 for a debt not exceeding Rs. 500/- who is through poverty unable to pay his debt and --

154

6. The selection for release must be made in fair proportion from all classes of offenders regard being had, as far as possible, to the character of their original crimes and their conduct in Jail. The number to be released should be spread as evenly as possible over all the localities in the State.

7. In the case of short term prisoners and other prisoners who do not obtain release under the terms stated above, Government are pleased to sanction a remission of their sentence according to the following scale:-

(a) All prisoners under sentence of one month or less, who have not worked out one-third of their sentence on 8th August 1927 will be given a remission of two-thirds of their sentence.

(b) All prisoners under sentence of over one month will be granted remissions according to the following scale:-

(1) All prisoners under sentence of imprisonment of more than one month but not more than six months will be granted a remission of 15 days.

(2) All prisoners under sentence of imprisonment for more than six months but not more than a year will be granted a remission of one month.

(3) All prisoners under sentence of imprisonment for more than a year will be granted a remission of one month for each year of the sentence originally imposed. In calculating remissions, fractions of a year of sentence not exceeding one half, should carry 15 days' remission, and fractions exceeding one half, one month's remission.

whose debt was not due to fraud on his part be released on that day, and that the debts due from ~~them~~ him be paid by Government. -- The Inspector-General of Prisons is requested to submit before the 1st August 1927 for the orders of Government a list showing the details of civil prisoners who are to be released, and the amounts of the debts due from them.

11. The orders of Government sanctioning the releases -- recommended and prescribing the procedure to be followed in announcing and giving effect to the same, will be communicated to the officers concerned in due time before the 8th August 1927.

By GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To

The Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore with two spare copies for the Superintendents of the Bangalore Central Jail and the Mysore District Jail.

The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, with a covering letter

The District Magistrates of Districts.

T.W.M/6.

List showing the names etc., of prisoners recommended to be released from the

Jubilee of His Highness

Serial Number	'Register' No. of Prisoner	Name	Sex	Age	Caste	Occupation	'Offence which convicted
		Bangalore Central Jail					
1							
2							
3							
4							
and so on							
		Mysore Jail					
1							
2							
3							
4							
and so on							

E.W.M/6.

Jails in the Mysore State on the 8th August 1927 on the occasion of the Silver

the Maharaja's Reign.

er'	Court which sentenced	'Date of' sentence	Period of sentence	'Date on which' sentence will expire.	Period remaining.	Reasons for relase in brief. xxxx

L 8

Letter No. 859 dated 22nd September 1927 from the Superintendent, Mysore Jail, Mysore, to the Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore, Bangalore.

-@-@-@-@-@-

I have the honour to submit the following case for favour of orders:-

One S.A. Raghavendra son of Anantha Char, now a convict No. 4351 of this Jail, was sentenced on 20-4-1927 to one year's Rigorous Imprisonment being convicted under Section 109 I.P.C., by the Sub Divisional Magistrate, First Class, Belgaum City. While undergoing his term of imprisonment in the Belgaum Central Prison he was extradited by the Mysore City Magistrate to stand his trial for an extraditable offence and was remanded to the Jail on 4-7-27 pending disposal of the charge. In result the prisoner was sentenc-ed on 3-8-27 to 9 months Rigorous Imprisonment under Section 409 I.P.C. On 5-8-1927, the prisoner was handed over to the Local Police for being resurrendered to the British authorities to under-go his unexpired term of sentence in the Belgaum Central Prison. But on 20-8-1927, he was returned to this Jail with a copy of the Proceedings passed by the Inspector-General of Prisons - Poona - holding that the Belgaum sentence being one under Security Section must run with the Mysore sentence (vide copy enclosed).

I solicit to be favoured with your orders on the following

(1) Whether the intervening period between the dates of re-surrender from and return to, this Jail is to count towards the calculation of the Mysore sentence

(2) Whether he becomes entitled to the benefit allowed in G.O. No.888-97/Pris 6-27-1 dated 21st July 1927 passed in connection with the Silver Jubilee on the 8th August last.

(3) Whether Mysore Jails are act in reciprocity in similar case

As regards the 1st:- As per Extradition Act of 1903, when a convict is surrendered outside the State his sentence shall be deemed to be suspended. In this case the convict had been resurrendered to the British authorities to undergo his unexpired term of sentence in the Belgaum Central Prison; but he was returned to this Jail to

19

execute the Belgaum and Mysore sentences concurrently for the reasons seen in the Proceedings of the Inspector-General of Prisons - Poona. So the case is one to be considered whether it is to be dealt within the meaning of the extradition Act. If in the affirmative, the period between the dates of re-surrender from and return to, this Jail should be deemed to be suspended. In the light of the procedure adopted by the British Authorities, the case does not appear to fall within the scope of the Act, for the convict after being re-surrendered was not detained in the Belgaum Jail for undergoing his un-expired term of sentence in that Jail. By the circumstances of the case, the intervening period may be allowed deeming it as having been undergone in this Jail. As regards the 2nd.- The Government Order entitles all the convicts in confinement on the 8th August to receive the benefit prescribed therein. The convict under report received his Mysore sentence o. 3-8-29 and on 5-8-27 he was handed over to the Local Police for being resurrendered to the British Authorities. If the inter period between the dates of resurrender from and surrender to, this Jail, is allowed, then the convict becomes eligible to receive the benefit of the Government Order. In the Government Order one month's remission was allowed for a term of 9 months.

As regards the 3rd.- Rule 213(2) of the Mysore Jail Manual prescribes that a term of sentence under Security Section must run with one awarded under Penal sections. But the scope of the rule does not extend beyond the State.

A nominal roll of the convict is herewith submitted.

A further copy of the proceedings passed by the Inspector-General of Prisons - Poona, has been received, through the Hon'ble Resident and the District Magistrate Mysore. A copy of which is herewith submitted for reference.

-@-@-@-@-@-@-@-

" C O P Y "

Inspector-General of Prisons.

14. Sept. 1927.

Nominal Roll of Male Convict No. 4351 (A) Sri A. Raghavendra - Resident of Mysore City, Weavers' line -

Village, Taluk, and District

Caste Brahamin Present age 22 Years Height Occupation Mysore Sericulture Employ.

Section and Code under which imprisoned.	Original Sentence and date of award.	Adjudicating Court & number of calendar case in which convicted.	Unexpired Term of Sentence after deducting Remission earned.			Present state of health & fitness to undertake a journey	Result of appeal if any.	Conduct in jail	Remarks
			Y.	M.	D.				
(1) 123. C.P.C. (2) 409 I.P.C.	(1). one year R.I. on 20.4.27. (2). 9 Months R.I. on 3.8.27.	(1). Sub. Divisional Magistrate 1st class. Belgaum Cr. Case No. not known (2). City Magistrate Mysore. in C.C. No. 460 of 26.27.	-	7	11	Good - fit to undertake a journey.	Not Appealed.	Good.	*. Including the intervening period between the date of Re. Surrender from and Return to, Mysore Jail

Mysore Jail
Dated 22nd Sept 1927.

Superintendent
Mysore - Jail

Below No. 4 115 dated the 11th August 1927 from
The Superintendent, Belgaum Central Prison

No. 10524 1/ Inspector-General of Prisons
Office, Poona.
12th August 1927

Returned with Compliments

2. The principle is the same whether the Substantive Sentence was awarded by a Court inside or outside British administered territory. The whole object of commitment under Section 109 C.P.C is to prevent a person from committing crime for a specified period. If he is confined in a prison during that period, it does not matter, where the jail is situated.

This particular prisoner should therefore be returned to Mysore immediately, to undergo his Sentence of nine months R.I. Whether he is on the expiry of that period to be sent back to Belgaum Central Jail will depend upon the date of his conviction at Mysore which has not been mentioned.

The point is that he should be kept shut up for one year from 20th April 1927 (less remission earned). If he completes his Sentence at Mysore on or after such date he need not be returned to Belgaum. Otherwise he will have to be sent back to complete the period of confinement.

Sd J.A. Murray.
M.D. Lt. Col I.M.S.
Dy. Ir. of Prisons Bombay Presidency

"Geo for"
Malabari
2.9.27. Sent to Mysore Jail.

Below no 4115 dated the 11th, August, 1927 from the Superintendent Belgaum Central Prison.

No. 10524. 13 Inspector General of Prisons Office,
Poona, 12th August 1927

Returned with Compliments.

2. The principle is the same whether the substantive Sentence was awarded by a court inside or outside British administered territory. The whole object of Commitment under Section 109 C.P.C. is to prevent a person from Committing crime for a specified period. If he is Confined in a prison during that period, it does not matter, where the jail is situated.

This particular prisoner should therefore be returned to Mysore immediately, to undergo his Sentence of nine months R.I. Whether he is on the expiry of that period to be sent back to Belgaum Central Prison will depend upon the date of his Conviction at Mysore which has not been mentioned.

The point is that he should be kept up for one year ~~for~~ from 20th April 1927 (less remission earned). If he completes his Sentence at Mysore on or after such date he need not be returned to Belgaum. Otherwise he will have to be sent back to complete the period of Confinement.

(Sd) - J. B. Murray.
M.D. Lt-Col. I.M.S,
Inspector General of Prisons
Bombay Presidency.

Copy.
Superintendent,
Mysore jail

P.T.O

~~P.T.O.~~

No 10525

To The District Magistrate, Belgaum

Copy forwarded with Compliments with Reference to his endorsement No. X.S.R. dated 8th Aug 27.

Sd J.H. Murray
J.P., B.P.

No X.S.R.454.

Office of the Dist Magistrate
Belgaum, dated 20th Aug 27.

Copy forwarded With Compliments to the
visit Secretary to the Resident at Mysore, Bangalore, for information.

Sd. —
Dist. Magist. Belgaum

Memo No P.1451/Cls.

Genl & Revenue Sect
Bangalore, 10th Sept 27.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Dist. Magistrate, Mysore in Continuation of his office Memo No P. 162/Cls 286-26-6 dated 8.7.27 with the observation that the Convict may be returned to Belgaum if necessary after the expiry of his Sentence in Mysore.

By order.
Sd./ T. Singaracharlu Headclerk
Asst Sect to Govt
Law Dept
Office of the Dist Magist.
Mysore.
15th Sept 27.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the City Magistrate Mysore for information & necessary action

Memo

Office of the City Magistrate
Mysore.

Copy of the above is forwarded 14.9.27.

Copy

Sept Mysore date.

The Sept Dist. Jail Mysore for information & —
City Magistrate

No G 7665 8/1/28

30/12

Pris 6-27-47

To

The I.G. of Prisons in Mysore.

Bengalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C.3932 dated 4th Octr. 1927 about prisoner No.4357 S.A.Raghavendra of the Mysore Jail, I am directed to state that in the circumstances explained in your letter under advertance the sentence awarded by the British Indian Magistrate, may be carried out in the Mysore Jail, and that he may be given the benefit of the clemency extended to prisoners on the occasion of the recent Silver Jubilee of the reign of His Highness the Maharaja.

I have etc.,

General Secretary to Govt.